LAW OFFICES OF

DRATEL & MYSLIWIEC

2 WALL STREET 3rd Floor NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005

TELEPHONE (212) 732-0707 FACSIMILE (212) 571-3792 www.dratelmys.com

USDC SŪNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Office Munager

Paralegul

STEVEN WRIGHT RYAN DUFFEY

September 14, 2012

JOSHUA L. DRATEL AARON MYSLIWIEC ALICE L. FONTIER

LINDSAY A. LEWIS

BY FACSIMILE [212-805-6382]

The Honorable Victor Marrero United States District Judge Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re:

United States v. Lesniewski,

S1 11 Cr. 019017(VM)

Dear Judge Marrero:

This letter is in regard to the pre-trial motions due today in the above-entitled case, in which Thomas Anthony Durkin, Esq., and I represent defendant Peter Lesniewski. As a result of the division of labor among all defense counsel to best achieve the goal of consolidating submissions into as few separate motions as possible, we have in the past day had to insert into our motions contributions from other counsel that have increased the size of our motions beyond what we had previously anticipated. As of Wednesday of this week, we also had to add language to our motions to accommodate new information about the case released by the government. Having just today combined the various components of our motions together, we now realize that the Memorandum of Law will be closer to 40 pages, and it is respectfully requested that the Court grant counsel permission to submit a memorandum of law in support of Dr. Lesniewski's pre-trial motions in excess of the 25- page limit.

LAW OFFICES OF

DRATEL & MYSLIWIEC

Hon. Victor Marrero United States District Judge Southern District of New York September 14, 2012 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this Court permit counsel to submit a memorandum of law in support of Dr. Lesniewski's pre-trial motions in excess of 25 pages.

Respectfully Submitted,

Joshua L. Dratel

JLD/lal

cc:

AUSA Justin Weddle (by e-mail) All Defense Counsel (by e-mail)

Request DENIED. The Court's purpose
in strong consolidation and reflerencing
of office defense motions was to decrease
not increase by such proportion the
so opported lack motion.

Q-14-12

DATE

VIETOR MARRERO, U.S.D.J.